Graph Representation Learning:

Embedding, GNNs, and Pre-Training



Microsoft Research, Redmond

Joint Work with



Jiezhong Qiu Tsinghua (Jie Tang)



Jie Tang Tsinghua



Ziniu Hu UCLA (Yizhou Sun)



Yizhou Sun UCLA



Hongxia Yang Alibaba



Hao Ma Facebook Al



Jing Zhang Renmin U. of China



Kuansan Wang Microsoft Research

Why Graphs?



Graphs

USEF

MESSAGES

Shared with m

FILES

TASKS

w

×∃

w]





Biological Neural Networks



Transportation

figure credit: Web

The Graph Mining Paradigm



Structural Diversity and Homophily: A Study Across More Than One Hundred Big Networks. KDD 2017.

Graph Representation Learning



- Input: a network G = (V, E)
- Output: $Z \in R^{|V| \times k}$, $k \ll |V|$, k-dim vector Z_v for each node v.

Application: Embedding Heterogeneous Academic Graph



- 2. Kuansan Wang et al. Microsoft Academic Graph: When experts are not enough. Quantitative Science Studies 1 (1), 396-413, 2020
- 3. Dong et al. metapath2vec: scalable representation learning for heterogeneous networks. In KDD 2017.
- 4. Code & data for metapath2vec: <u>https://ericdongyx.github.io/metapath2vec/m2v.html</u>

1.

Application: Similarity Search & Recommendation

Science

Science, also widely referred to as Science Magazine, is the peer-reviewed academic journal of the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) and one of the world's top academic journals. It was first published in 1880, is currently circulated weekly and has a subscriber base of around 130,000. Because institutional subscriptions and online access serve a larger audience, its estimated readership is 570,400 people.

Website links: sciencemag.org, en.wikipedia.org

RELATED JOURNALS

Nature Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America



1. https://academic.microsoft.com/

- 2. Kuansan Wang et al. Microsoft Academic Graph: When experts are not enough. Quantitative Science Studies 1 (1), 396-413, 2020
- 3. Dong et al. metapath2vec: scalable representation learning for heterogeneous networks. In KDD 2017.
- 4. Code & data for metapath2vec: https://ericdongyx.github.io/metapath2vec/m2v.html

Application: Reasoning about **Diabetes** from MAG



Cause

Symptom

Treatment

Application: Reasoning about COVID-19 from MAG



Graph Representation Learning



Network Embedding





1. Mikolov, et al. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. In ICLR 2013.

2. Perozzi et al. DeepWalk: Online learning of social representations. In *KDD'* 14, pp. 701–710.

Distributional Hypothesis of Harris

 Word embedding: words in similar contexts have similar meanings (e.g., skip-gram in word embedding)

- Node embedding: nodes in similar structural contexts are similar
 - DeepWalk: structural contexts are defined by co-occurrence over random walk paths

hide

The Objective

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{c \in N_{rw}(v)} -\log(P(c|v)) \Leftrightarrow p(c|v) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{z}_{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z}_{c})}{\sum_{u \in V} \exp(\mathbf{z}_{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z}_{u})}$$

 $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow$ to maximize the likelihood of node co-occurrence on a random walk path

 $z_v^{\top} z_c \rightarrow$ the possibility that node v and context c appear on a random walk path

Network Embedding: Random Walk + Skip-Gram



- DeepWalk (walk length > 1)
- \circ LINE (walk length = 1)
- \circ PTE (walk length = 1)
- node2vec (biased random walk)
- metapath2vec (heterogeneous rw)
- 1. Perozzi et al. DeepWalk: Online learning of social representations. In KDD' 14. Most Cited Paper in KDD'14.
- 2. Tang et al. LINE: Large scale information network embedding. In WWW'15. Most Cited Paper in WWW'15.
- 3. Grover and Leskovec. node2vec: Scalable feature learning for networks. In KDD'16. 2nd Most Cited Paper in KDD'16.
- 4. Dong et al. metapath2vec: scalable representation learning for heterogeneous networks. In KDD 2017. Most Cited Paper in KDD'17.

Graph Representation Learning



- DeepWalk
- LINE
- Node2vec
- PTE
- ...
- metapath2vec



NetMF: Network Embedding as Matrix Factorization

• DeepWalk
$$\log \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(D^{-1} A \right)^{r} \right) D^{-1} \right)$$

• LINE $\log \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} D^{-1} A D^{-1} \right)$
• PTE $\log \left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \operatorname{vol}(G_{ww}) (D_{row}^{ww})^{-1} A_{ww} (D_{col}^{ww})^{-1} \\ \beta \operatorname{vol}(G_{dw}) (D_{row}^{dw})^{-1} A_{dw} (D_{col}^{dw})^{-1} \\ \gamma \operatorname{vol}(G_{lw}) (D_{row}^{lw})^{-1} A_{lw} (D_{col}^{lw})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) - \log b$
• node2vec $\log \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(\sum_{u} X_{w,u} \underline{P}_{c,w,u}^{r} + \sum_{u} X_{c,u} \underline{P}_{w,c,u}^{r} \right)}{b (\sum_{u} X_{w,u}) (\sum_{u} X_{c,u})} \right)$

A Adjacency matrix*D* Degree matrix

$$vol(G) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{ij}$$

b: #negative samples *T*: context window size

1. Qiu et al. Network embedding as matrix factorization: unifying deepwalk, line, pte, and node2vec. In WSDM'18.



$$\log\left(\frac{\#(w,c)\,|\mathcal{D}|}{b\#(w)\,\cdot\,\#(c)}\right) = \log\left(\frac{\frac{\#(w,c)}{|\mathcal{D}|}}{b\frac{\#(w)}{|\mathcal{D}|}\frac{\#(c)}{|\mathcal{D}|}}\right)$$

 $(c, d) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{1}} (c, d) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{2}} (c, d) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{2}} (c, e) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{2}} (c, e) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{2}} D_{2}$

- NLP Language
- #(w,c): co-occurrence of w & c
- #(w): occurrence of word w
- #(c): occurrence of context c
- D: word-context pair (w, c) multi-set
- $|\mathcal{D}|$: number of word-context pairs

- Partition the multiset D into several sub-multisets according to the way in which each node and its context appear in a random walk node sequence.
- More formally, for $r = 1, 2, \dots, T$, we define $\mathcal{D}_{\overrightarrow{r}} = \left\{ (w, c) : (w, c) \in \mathcal{D}, w = w_j^n, c = w_{j+r}^n \right\}$ $\mathcal{D}_{\overleftarrow{r}} = \left\{ (w, c) : (w, c) \in \mathcal{D}, w = w_{j+r}^n, c = w_j^n \right\}$



$$\frac{\#(w,c) |\mathcal{D}|}{\#(w) \cdot \#(c)} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{2T} \left(\frac{1}{d_c} \sum_{r=1}^T (P^r)_{w,c} + \frac{1}{d_w} \sum_{r=1}^T (P^r)_{c,w} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{2T} \left(\sum_{r=1}^T P^r D^{-1} + \sum_{r=1}^T D^{-1} (P^r)^T \right)$$

$$= \frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{2T} \left(\sum_{r=1}^T \underbrace{D^{-1} A \times \cdots \times D^{-1} A}_{r \text{ terms}} D^{-1} + \sum_{r=1}^T D^{-1} \underbrace{A D^{-1} \times \cdots \times A D^{-1}}_{r \text{ terms}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{T} \sum_{r=1}^T \underbrace{D^{-1} A \times \cdots \times D^{-1} A}_{r \text{ terms}} D^{-1} = \operatorname{vol}(G) \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^T P^r \right) D^{-1}.$$

$$\log\left(\frac{\#(w,c)\left|\mathcal{D}\right|}{b\#(w)\cdot\#(c)}\right) = \log\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}\right)^{r}\right)\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\right)$$

Graph Language

- *A* Adjacency matrix
- **D** Degree matrix

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}$$

$$vol(G) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{ij}$$

b: #negative samples *T*: context window size



DeepWalk is asymptotically and implicitly factorizing

- *A* Adjacency matrix
- **D** Degree matrix

$$vol(G) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{ij}$$

b: #negative samples *T*: context window size

$$\log\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}\right)^{r}\right)\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\right)$$

1. Qiu et al. Network embedding as matrix factorization: unifying deepwalk, line, pte, and node2vec. In WSDM'18.

Unifying DeepWalk, LINE, PTE, & node2vec as Matrix Factorization

• DeepWalk
$$\log \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(D^{-1} A \right)^{r} \right) D^{-1} \right)$$

• LINE $\log \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} D^{-1} A D^{-1} \right)$
• PTE $\log \left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \operatorname{vol}(G_{ww}) (D_{row}^{ww})^{-1} A_{ww} (D_{col}^{ww})^{-1} \\ \beta \operatorname{vol}(G_{dw}) (D_{row}^{dw})^{-1} A_{dw} (D_{col}^{dw})^{-1} \\ \gamma \operatorname{vol}(G_{lw}) (D_{row}^{lw})^{-1} A_{lw} (D_{col}^{lw})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) - \log b$
• node2vec $\log \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(\sum_{u} X_{w,u} P_{c,w,u}^{r} + \sum_{u} X_{c,u} P_{w,c,u}^{r} \right)}{b \left(\sum_{u} X_{w,u} \right) \left(\sum_{u} X_{c,u} \right)} \right)$

Qiu et al. Network embedding as matrix factorization: unifying deepwalk, line, pte, and node2vec. In WSDM'18. The most cited paper in WSDM'18 as of May 2019

NetMF: Explicitly Factorizing the DeepWalk Matrix



DeepWalk is asymptotically and implicitly factorizing

$$\log\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}\right)^{r}\right)\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\right)$$

- 1. Qiu et al. Network embedding as matrix factorization: unifying deepwalk, line, pte, and node2vec. In WSDM'18.
- 2. Code &data for NetMF: <u>https://github.com/xptree/NetMF</u>

NetMF

Construction Factorization

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \log\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}\right)^{r}\right)\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\right)$$

1. Qiu et al. Network embedding as matrix factorization: unifying deepwalk, line, pte, and node2vec. In WSDM'18.

Results



Explicit matrix factorization (NetMF) offers performance gains over **implicit** matrix factorization (DeepWalk & LINE)

- 1. Qiu et al. Network embedding as matrix factorization: unifying deepwalk, line, pte, and node2vec. In WSDM'18.
- 2. Code &data for NetMF: https://github.com/xptree/NetMF

Network Embedding



$$f(\boldsymbol{A}) = \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A} \right)^{r} \right) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \right)$$

- 1. Qiu et al. Network embedding as matrix factorization: unifying deepwalk, line, pte, and node2vec. In WSDM'18.
- 2. Code &data for NetMF: <u>https://github.com/xptree/NetMF</u>

Challenge?



How can we solve this issue?

- 1. Construction
- 2. Factorization

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \log\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}\right)^{r}\right)\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\right)$$

- 1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.
- 2. Code & data for NetSMF: <u>https://github.com/xptree/NetSMF</u>



How can we solve this issue?

- 1. Sparse Construction
- 2. Sparse Factorization

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \log\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}\right)^{r}\right)\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\right)$$

- 1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.
- 2. Code & data for NetSMF: <u>https://github.com/xptree/NetSMF</u>

Sparsify S

For random-walk matrix polynomial $\boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{D} - \sum_{r=1}^{T} \alpha_r \boldsymbol{D} \left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A} \right)^r$ where $\sum_{r=1}^{T} \alpha_r = 1$ and α_r non-negative One can construct a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spectral sparsifier $\tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}$ with $O(n \log n \epsilon^{-2})$ non-zeros in time $O(T^2 m \epsilon^{-2} \log n)$ for undirected graphs

Suppose G = (V, E, A) and $\tilde{G} = (V, \tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$ are two weighted undirected networks. Let $L = D_G - A$ and $\tilde{L} = D_{\tilde{G}} - \tilde{A}$ be their Laplacian matrices, respectively. We define G and \tilde{G} are $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spectrally similar if

 $\forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, (1-\epsilon) \cdot \boldsymbol{x}^\top \widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}} \boldsymbol{x} \leq \boldsymbol{x}^\top \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{x} \leq (1+\epsilon) \cdot \boldsymbol{x}^\top \widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}} \boldsymbol{x}.$

^{1.} Dehua Cheng, Yu Cheng, Yan Liu, Richard Peng, and Shang-Hua Teng, Efficient Sampling for Gaussian Graphical Models via Spectral Sparsification, COLT 2015.

^{2.} Dehua Cheng, Yu Cheng, Yan Liu, Richard Peng, and Shang-Hua Teng. Spectral sparsification of random-walk matrix polynomials. arXiv:1502.03496.

Sparsify S

For random-walk matrix polynomial $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{D} - \sum_{r=1}^{T} \alpha_r \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{A})^r$ where $\sum_{r=1}^{T} \alpha_r = 1$ and α_r non-negative One can construct a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spectral sparsifier $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ with $O(n \log n \epsilon^{-2})$ non-zeros in time $O(T^2 m \epsilon^{-2} \log n)$ for undirected graphs

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \log^{\circ} \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A} \right)^{r} \right) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \right)$$
$$\alpha_{1} = \cdots = \alpha_{T} = \frac{1}{T} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad = \log^{\circ} \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{D} - \boldsymbol{L}) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \right)$$
$$\approx \log^{\circ} \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{D} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \right)$$

1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.

NetSMF ---- Sparse

• Construct a random walk matrix polynomial sparsifier, \widetilde{L}

Construct a NetMF matrix sparsifier.

trunc_log°
$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{D}-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}})\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\right)$$

Factorize the constructed matrix

1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.

NetSMF---Bounded Approximation Error

$$\log^{\circ} \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A} \right)^{r} \right) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \right)$$
$$= \log^{\circ} \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{D} - \boldsymbol{L}) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \right) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{M}$$
$$\approx \log^{\circ} \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b} \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{D} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}) \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \right) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\widetilde{M}}$$

Theorem

The singular value of $\widetilde{M}-M$ satisfies

$$\sigma_i(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} - \boldsymbol{M}) \le \frac{4\epsilon}{\sqrt{d_i d_{\min}}}, \forall i \in [n]$$

Theorem

Let $\|\cdot\|_F$ be the matrix Frobenius norm. Then

$$\left|\operatorname{trunc_log}^{\circ}\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\widetilde{M}\right) - \operatorname{trunc_log}^{\circ}\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}M\right)\right\|_{F} \leq \frac{4\epsilon \operatorname{vol}(G)}{b\sqrt{d_{\min}}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}}}.$$

1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.

Results

hide

	OAG	YouTube	Flickr	PPI	BlogCatalog	Dataset
•#non-zeros:	67,768,244	1,138,499	80,513	3,890	10,312	V
•~4.5 Quadrillion \rightarrow 45 Billion	895,368,962	2,990,443	5,899,882	76,584	333,983	E
	19	47	195	50	39	#labels

	LINE	DeepWalk	nodelivec	Netht	NetSME
BlogCatalog	40 mins	12 mins	56 mins	19 mins	13 mins
PPI	41 mins	4 mins	4 mins	1 min	10 secs
Flickr	42 mins	2.2 hours	21 hours	5 days	48 mins
YouTube	46 mins	4.3 hours	4 days	×	4.1 hours
OAG	2.6 hours	_	_	×	24 hours

1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.

Results



Effectiveness: NetSMF (sparse MF) ≈ NetMF (explicit MF) > DeepWalk/LINE (implicit MF)

Efficiency: NetSMF (sparse MF) can handle billion-scale network embedding

- 1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.
- 2. Code & data for NetSMF: <u>https://github.com/xptree/NetSMF</u>


1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.

2. Code & data for NetSMF: <u>https://github.com/xptree/NetSMF</u>

Network Embedding



Incorporate network structures *A* into the similarity matrix *S*, and then factorize *S*

$$f(\boldsymbol{A}) = \log\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}(G)}{b}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}\right)^{r}\right)\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\right)$$

- 1. Qiu et al. NetSMF: Network embedding as sparse matrix factorization. In WWW 2019.
- 2. Code & data for NetSMF: <u>https://github.com/xptree/NetSMF</u>

ProNE: Propagation based Network Embedding



1. Zhang et al. ProNE: Fast and Scalable Network Representation Learning. In IJCAI 2019

2. Code & data for ProNE: <u>https://github.com/THUDM/ProNE</u>

Spectral Propagation

$$R_{d} \leftarrow D^{-1}A(I_{n} - \tilde{L}) R_{d}$$
 The idea of **Graph Neural Networks**
$$D^{-1}A(I_{n} - \tilde{L})$$
 is $D^{-1}A$ modulated by the filter in the spectrum
$$\tilde{L} = Ug(\Lambda)U^{T}$$
 is the spectral filter of $L = I_{n} - D^{-1}A$

1. Zhang et al. ProNE: Fast and Scalable Network Representation Learning. In IJCAI 2019

2. Code & data for ProNE: <u>https://github.com/THUDM/ProNE</u>



Chebyshev expansion for efficiency

- To avoid explicit eigendecomposition and Fourier transform
 - Chebyshev expansion $T_{i+1}(x) = 2xT_i(x) T_{i-1}(x)$ with $T_0(x) = 1, T_1(x) = x$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{L} &= U diag([g(\lambda_1), ..., g(\lambda_n)]) U^T \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \widetilde{L} \approx B_0(\theta) T_0(\bar{L}) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-)^i B_i(\theta) T_i(\bar{L}) \\ &\approx U \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i(\theta) T_i(\bar{\Lambda}) U^T \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i(\theta) T_i(\bar{L}) \end{split}$$

1. Zhang et al. ProNE: Fast and Scalable Network Representation Learning. In IJCAI 2019

2. Code & data for ProNE: <u>https://github.com/THUDM/ProNE</u>

Efficiency

		1 Thread		
		l		
Dataset	DeepWalk	LINE	node2vec	ProNE
PPI	272	70	828	3
Wiki	494	87	939	6
BlogCatalog	1,231	185	3,533	21
DBLP	3,825	1,204	4,749	24
Youtube	68,272	5,890	>5days	627
1.1M nodes	19hours	98mins		10mins

Dataset	training ratio	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.09
	DeepWalk	49.3	55.0	57.1	57.9	58.4
DBLP	LINE	48.7	52.6	53.5	54.1	54.5
	node2vec	48.9	55.1	57.0	58.0	58.4
	GraRep	50.5	52.6	53.2	53.5	53.8
	HOPE	52.2	55.0	55.9	56.3	56.6
	ProNE (SMF)	50.8	54.9	56.1	56.7	57.0
	ProNE	48.8	56.2	58.0	58.8	59.2
	$(\pm \sigma)$	(±1.0)	(± 0.5)	(±0.2)	(± 0.2)	(±0.1)
	DeepWalk	38.0	40.1	41.3	42.1	42.8
ube	LINE	33.2	35.5	37.0	38.2	39.3
out	ProNE (SMF)	36.5	40.2	41.2	41.7	42.1
X	ProNE	38.2	41.4	42.3	42.9	43.3
	$(\pm \sigma)$	(±0.8)	(±0.3)	(±0.2)	(±0.2)	(±0.2)
		(0.0)	(± 0.0)	(±0.2)	(±0.2)	(±0.2

ProNE offers **10-400X** speedups (1 thread vs 20 threads)

Embed 100,000,000 nodes by 1 thread: 29 hours with performance superiority

- 1. Zhang et al. ProNE: Fast and Scalable Network Representation Learning. In IJCAI 2019
- 2. Code & data for ProNE: <u>https://github.com/THUDM/ProNE</u>

Scalability



(a) The node degree is fixed to 10 and #nodes grows

(b) #nodes is fixed to 10,000 and the node degree grows

hide

Embed 100,000,000 nodes by 1 thread: 29 hours with performance superiority

ProNE: A General Propagation Framework

hide



- 1. Zhang et al. ProNE: Fast and Scalable Network Representation Learning. In IJCAI 2019
- 2. Code & data for ProNE: <u>https://github.com/THUDM/ProNE</u>

Network Embedding



Graph Representation Learning



- ...
- metapath2vec

Connecting NE with Graph Neural Networks



- ProNE
 - Propagation based network embedding

$$\boldsymbol{R}_d \leftarrow \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{I}_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{L}}) \, \boldsymbol{R}_d$$

• GNN

 Neighborhood aggregation: aggregate neighbor information and pass into a neural network

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{v} = f(\boldsymbol{h}_{v}, \boldsymbol{h}_{a}, \boldsymbol{h}_{b}, \boldsymbol{h}_{c}, \boldsymbol{h}_{d}, \boldsymbol{h}_{e})$$

- 1. Justin Gilmer, et al. Neural message passing for quantum chemistry. In ICML 2017.
- 2. Zhang et al. ProNE: Fast and Scalable Network Representation Learning. In IJCAI 2019

Graph Neural Networks



1. Choose neighborhood

- 2. Determine the order of selected neighbors
- 3. Parameter sharing



Graph Convolution

CNN

Neighborhood Aggregation:

- Aggregate neighbor information and pass into a neural network
- It can be viewed as a center-surround filter in CNN---graph convolutions!

1. Niepert et al. Learning Convolutional Neural Networks for Graphs. In ICML 2016

2. Defferrard et al. Convolutional Neural Networks on Graphs with Fast Locailzied Spectral Filtering. In NIPS 2016

Graph Convolutional Networks



normalized Laplacian matrix

Aggregate info from neighborhood via the normalized Laplacian matrix

Graph Convolutional Networks





Graph Convolutional Networks



The same parameters for both its neighbors & itself

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}^{k} \sum_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbf{N}(v)} \frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{u}^{k-1}}{\sqrt{|N(u)||N(v)|}} + W^{k} \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}} \frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k-1}}{\sqrt{|N(v)||N(v)|}}$$

Kipf et al. Semisupervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks. ICLR 2017

Graph Convolutional Networks

$$D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}H^{(k-1)}W^{(k)}$$





Kipf et al. Semisupervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks. ICLR 2017



Graph Convolutional Networks

$$\Rightarrow H^{k} = \sigma \left(D^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A + I) D^{-\frac{1}{2}} H^{(k-1)} W^{(k)} \right) \Rightarrow Z = H^{K}$$

$$H^{0} = X$$

Input

Output

Kipf et al. Semisupervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks. ICLR 2017

Output

Graph Convolutional Networks

$$\Rightarrow H^{k} = \sigma \left(D^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A + I) D^{-\frac{1}{2}} H^{(k-1)} W^{(k)} \right) \Rightarrow Z = H^{K}$$

$$H^{0} = X$$

Input

• Model training

Ο

- The common setting is to have an end to end training framework with a supervised task
- \circ That is, define a loss function over Z



Graph Convolutional Networks

$$\Rightarrow H^{k} = \sigma \left(D^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A + I) D^{-\frac{1}{2}} H^{(k-1)} W^{(k)} \right) \Rightarrow Z = H^{K}$$

$$H^{0} = X$$

Input

• Benefits: Parameter sharing for all nodes

Output

- #parameters is subline in [V]
- Enable inductive learning for new nodes



GraphSage



$$\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}^{k} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{N}(v) \cup v} \frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{u}^{k-1}}{\sqrt{|N(u)||N(v)|}})$$

Instead of summation, it concatenates neighbor & self embeddings

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k} = \sigma([\boldsymbol{A}^{k} \cdot \operatorname{AGG}(\{\boldsymbol{h}_{u}^{k-1}, \forall u \in N(v)\}), \boldsymbol{B}^{k} \boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k-1}])$$

Generalized aggregation: any differentiable function that maps set of vectors to a single vector

GCN

GraphSage

GraphSage

hide

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k} = \sigma([\boldsymbol{A}^{k} \cdot \operatorname{AGG}(\{\boldsymbol{h}_{u}^{k-1}, \forall u \in N(v)\}), \boldsymbol{B}^{k}\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k-1}])$$

Mean:

$$\operatorname{AGG} = \sum_{u \in N(v)} \frac{\mathbf{h}_u^{k-1}}{|N(v)|}$$

- Pool
 - Transform neighbor vectors and apply symmetric vector function. $AGG = \bigcap (\{\mathbf{Qh}_u^{k-1}, \forall u \in N(v)\})$
- LSTM:
 - Apply LSTM to random permutation of neighbors. $AGG = LSTM \left([\mathbf{h}_{u}^{k-1}, \forall u \in \pi(N(v))] \right)$

Hamilton et al. Inductive Representation Learning on Large Graphs. NIPS 2017 Slide snipping from "Hamiltion & Tang, AAAI 2019 Tutorial on Graph Representation Learning"

Graph Neural Network

hide

$$\boldsymbol{H}^{k} = \sigma \left(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{H}^{(k-1)} \boldsymbol{W}^{(k-1)} \right)$$

Graph Attention

GCN
$$\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}^{k} \sum_{u \in N(v) \cup v} \frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{u}^{k-1}}{\sqrt{|N(u)||N(v)|}})$$

Aggregate info from neighborhood via the normalized Laplacian matrix

Graph Attention

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{k} = \sigma(\sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v) \cup v} \alpha_{v,u} W^{k} \boldsymbol{h}_{u}^{k-1})$$

Aggregate info from neighborhood via the learned attention



Graph Attention



$$\alpha_{v,u} = \frac{\exp\left(\text{LeakyReLU}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\top}[\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h}_{v},\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h}_{u}]\right)\right)}{\sum_{u'\in N(v)\cup\{v\}}\exp\left(\text{LeakyReLU}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\top}[\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h}_{v},\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h}_{u'}]\right)\right)}$$

many ways to define attention!

Attention over Heterogeneous Graphs?





heterogeneous academic graph

heterogeneous office graph

Heterogeneous Graph Transformer (HGT)

- Current graph neural networks are not capable enough to capture graph heterogeneity
- Heterogeneous Graph Transformer
 - $\circ~$ Unique parameters for each type of relationships



• meta relation of an edge e = (s, t)

 $\langle \tau(s), \phi(e), \tau(t) \rangle$

Heterogeneous Graph Transformer (HGT)



• meta relation of an edge e = (s, t)

 $\langle \tau(s), \phi(e), \tau(t) \rangle$

heterogeneous mutual attention

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Attention}_{HGT}(s, e, t) &= \operatorname{Softmax}_{\forall s \in N(t)} \left(\begin{array}{c} \| & ATT\text{-}head^{i}(s, e, t) \right) \\ i \in [1, h] \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ATT\text{-}head^{i}(s, e, t) &= \left(K^{i}(s) \ W^{ATT}_{\phi(e)} \ Q^{i}(t)^{T} \right) \cdot \frac{\mu \langle \tau(s), \phi(e), \tau(t) \rangle}{\sqrt{d}} \\ K^{i}(s) &= \operatorname{K-Linear}_{\tau(s)}^{i} \left(H^{(l-1)}[s] \right) \\ Q^{i}(t) &= \operatorname{Q-Linear}_{\tau(t)}^{i} \left(H^{(l-1)}[t] \right) \end{aligned}$$



Heterogeneous Graph Transformer



• meta relation of an edge e = (s, t)

 $\langle \tau(s), \phi(e), \tau(t) \rangle$

heterogeneous message passing

$$Message_{HGT}(s, e, t) = \| MSG-head^{i}(s, e, t)$$
$$_{i \in [1, h]} MSG-head^{i}(s, e, t) = M-Linear_{\tau(s)}^{i} \left(H^{(l-1)}[s]\right) W_{\phi(e)}^{MSG}$$



Heterogeneous Graph Transformer



• meta relation of an edge e = (s, t)

 $\langle \tau(s), \phi(e), \tau(t) \rangle$

• target specific aggregation

 $\widetilde{H}^{(l)}[t] = \bigoplus_{\forall s \in N(t)} \left(\mathbf{Attention}_{HGT}(s, e, t) \cdot \mathbf{Message}_{HGT}(s, e, t) \right)$

$$H^{(l)}[t] = \text{A-Linear}_{\tau(t)} \left(\sigma \left(\widetilde{H}^{(l)}[t] \right) \right) + H^{(l-1)}[t]$$

Heterogeneous Graph Transformer (HGT)



Heterogeneous Graph Transformer (HGT)

 \bullet



Relative Temporal Encoding $\widehat{H}^{(l-1)}[s] = H^{(l-1)}[s] + RTE(\Delta T(t,s))$ $RTE(\Delta T(t,s)) = \text{T-Linear}(Base(\Delta T_{t,s}))$ $Base(\Delta T(t,s), 2i) = sin(\Delta T_{t,s}/10000^{\frac{2i}{d}})$ $Base(\Delta T(t,s), 2i + 1) = cos(\Delta T_{t,s}/10000^{\frac{2i+1}{d}})$

Experiments



- Sampling subgraphs from large-scale graphs
 - From homogeneous graphs → LADIES algorithm
 - From heterogeneous graphs \rightarrow HGSampling algo

^{1.} Ziniu Hu, et al. Heterogeneous Graph Transformer. **WWW 2020.**

^{2.} Difan Zou, et al. Layer-Dependent Importance Sampling for Training Deep and Large Graph Convolutional Networks. In NeurIPS'19.

Results

GNN Models		GCN [7]	RGCN [12]	GAT [21]	HetGNN [25]	HAN [22]	HGT _{noHeter}	HGT _{noTime}	HGT
# of Parameters		1.69M	8.80M	1.69M	8.41M	9.45M	3.12M	7.44M	8.20M
Paper–Field (L1)	NDCG	$.558 \pm .141$	$.563 \pm .128$.601±.103	$.615 \pm .084$.617±.096	$.674 \pm .086$	$.702 \pm .089$.735±.084
	MRR	$.513 \pm .136$	$.526 \pm .105$	$.587 \pm .096$	$.595 \pm .076$	$.604 \pm .092$	$.652 \pm .078$	$.676 \pm .082$.713±.081
Paper–Field (L2)	NDCG	.241±.074	$.258 \pm .046$.276±.049	.271±.062	.281±.051	$.301 \pm .046$	$.307 \pm .052$.332±.048
	MRR	$.192 \pm .067$	$.206 \pm .052$	$.228 \pm .045$.231±.053	$.242 \pm .049$	$.257 \pm .058$	$.260 \pm .064$	$.276 {\pm} .071$
Paper–Venue	NDCG	.303±.066	$.354 \pm .051$.461±.057	$.447 \pm .071$.478±.062	$.515 \pm .059$	$.538 \pm .064$.551±.062
	MRR	$.114 \pm .070$	$.198 \pm .047$	$.244 \pm .052$	$.226 \pm .059$	$.269 \pm .067$	$.295 \pm .060$	$.322 \pm .048$	$.334 \pm .061$
Author	NDCG	$.730 \pm .064$	$.742 \pm .057$	$.785 \pm .063$	$.792 \pm .052$.810±.049	$.834 \pm .058$.849±.066	.857±.054
Disambiguation	MRR	$.762 \pm .042$	$.786 \pm .048$	$.843 \pm .044$	$.852 \pm .058$.876±.056	$.903 \pm .041$.911±.043	.918±.048

HGT offers ~9-21% improvements over existing (heterogeneous) GNNs

Case Study

Experiments done in 2019!

Venue	Time	Top–5 Most Similar Venues	
WWW	2000 2010 2020	SIGMOD, VLDB, NSDI, GLOBECOM, SIGIR GLOBECOM, KDD, CIKM, SIGIR, SIGMOD KDD, GLOBECOM, SIGIR, WSDM, SIGMOD	DB + Networking + IR DM + Networking + IR + DB
KDD	2000	SIGMOD, ICDE, ICDM, CIKM, VLDB	DB + DM
	2010	ICDE, WWW, NeurIPS, SIGMOD, ICML	
	2020	NeurIPS, SIGMOD, WWW, AAAI, EMNLP	ML + DB + Web + AI + NLP!!!
NeurIPS	2000	ICCV, ICML, ECCV, AAAI, CVPR	CV + ML + AI
	2010	ICML, CVPR, ACL, KDD, AAAI	
	2020	ICML, CVPR, ICLR, ICCV, ACL	ML + CV + DL + NLP

What is the Best Part of HGT?



Learn meta-paths & their weights implicitly!

Graph Representation Learning



- DeepWalk
- LINE
- Node2vec
- PTE
- ...
- metapath2vec



- NetMF
- NetSMF
- ...

 $\langle \Rightarrow \rangle$

• ProNE (Propagation)

GNNs

Pre-Training

- GCN
- GAT
- GraphSage
- ...
- GRAND
- HGT
Language and Image Pre-Training, Graphs?

- Recent progress of pre-training models in NLP & CV
 - ELMO, BERT, XLNet, MoCo, etc.
 - Model level: Transformer
 - Pre-training Task: masked language modeling & next sentence prediction



GNN Pre-Training

- The FIRST graph pre-training setting:
 - To pre-train from one graph
 - To fine-tune for unseen tasks on the same graph or graphs of the same domain.



- How to do this?
 - Model level: GNNs?
 - Pre-training task: self-supervised tasks on graphs?

1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN

GNN Pre-Training

hide



1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN

- Model the graph distribution $p(G; \theta)$ by learning to reconstruct the input graph.
 - Factorize the graph likelihood into two terms:
 - Attribute Generation
 - Edge Generation

 $\log p_{\theta}(X, E) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{V}|} \log p_{\theta}(X_i, E_i \mid X_{\leq i}, E_{\leq i}).$



attribute and edge <mark>masked</mark> input graph

1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN $p_{\theta}(X_i, E_i | X_{< i}, E_{< i})$ $= p_{\theta}(X_i | X_{< i}, E_{< i}) \cdot p_{\theta}(E_i | X_{< i}, E_{< i})$

• Model the graph distribution $p(G; \theta)$ by learning to reconstruct the input graph.

 $p_{\theta}(X_i, E_i \mid X_{\leq i}, E_{\leq i})$

- Factorize the graph likelihood into two terms:
 - Attribute Generation
 - Edge Generation

$$\log p_{\theta}(X, E) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{V}|} \log p_{\theta}(X_i, E_i \mid X_{\leq i}, E_{\leq i}).$$



attribute and edge masked input graph

$$= \sum_{o} p_{\theta}(X_{i}, E_{i,\neg o} \mid E_{i,o}, X_{< i}, E_{< i}) \cdot p_{\theta}(E_{i,o} \mid X_{< i}, E_{< i})$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{o} \left[p_{\theta}(X_{i}, E_{i,\neg o} \mid E_{i,o}, X_{< i}, E_{< i}) \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{o} \left[\underbrace{p_{\theta}(X_{i} \mid E_{i,o}, X_{< i}, E_{< i})}_{1 \text{ generate attributes}} \cdot \underbrace{p_{\theta}(E_{i,\neg o} \mid E_{i,o}, X_{\leq i}, E_{< i})}_{2 \text{ generate edges}} \right].$$

1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN



1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN

• Data 1: Open Academic Graph

Pre-Train Fine-Tune • Attribute Generation • Edge Generation • Edge Generation

Base GNN model:

Tasks:

Heterogeneous Graph Transformer (HGT)

1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN

• Data 1: Open Academic Graph



1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN

	Downstream Dataset	OAG			
	Evaluation Task	Paper–Field	Paper–Venue	Author ND	
	No Pre-train	$.346 \pm .149$	$.598 \pm .122$.813±.105	
<u>ب</u>	GAE	$.403 \pm .114$	$.626 \pm .093$	$.836 \pm .084$	
sfe	GraphSAGE (unsp.)	$.368 \pm .125$	$.609 \pm .096$	$.818 \pm .092$	
ran	Graph Infomax	$.387 \pm .112$	$.612 \pm .097$	$.827 \pm .084$	
I pI	GPT-GNN (Attr)	.396±.118	$.623 \pm .105$.834±.086	
Fie	GPT-GNN (Edge)	$.413 \pm .109$	$.635 \pm .096$	$.842 \pm .093$	
	GPT-GNN	$.420 \pm .107$.641±.098	$\textbf{.848} {\pm} \textbf{.102}$	
	GAE	.384±.117	.619±.101	.828±.095	
sfe	GraphSAGE (unsp.)	$.352 \pm .121$	$.601 \pm .105$	$.815 \pm .093$	
ran	Graph Infomax	$.369 \pm .116$	$.606 \pm .102$	$.821 \pm .089$	
ne T	GPT-GNN (Attr)	$.374 \pm .114$.614±.098	.826±.089	
Tin	GPT-GNN (Edge)	$.397 \pm .105$	$.629 \pm .102$	$.836 \pm .088$	
-	GPT-GNN	$.405 \pm .108$	$.635 {\pm} .101$.840±.093	
er	GAE	$.371 \pm .124$.611±.108	.821±.102	
nsf	GraphSAGE (unsp.)	$.349 \pm .130$	$.602 \pm .118$	$.812 \pm .097$	
Iraı	Graph Infomax	$.360 \pm .121$	$.600 \pm .102$	$.815 \pm .093$	
e + Field	GPT-GNN (Attr)	.364±.115	.609±.103	.824±.094	
	- (w/o node separation)	$.347 \pm .128$	$.601 \pm .102$	$.813 \pm .108$	
	GPT-GNN (Edge)	$.390 \pm .116$	$.622 \pm .104$	$.830 \pm .105$	
lim	— (w/o adaptive queue)	$.376 \pm .121$	$.617 \pm .115$	$.828 \pm .104$	
	GPT-GNN	.397±.112	$.628 {\pm} .108$	$.833 \pm .102$	

1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN • All pre-training frameworks help the performance of GNNs

o GAE, GraphSage, Graph Infomax

o GPT-GNN

• GPT-GNN helps the most by achieving a relative performance gain of 9.1% over the base model without pre-training

• Both self-supervised tasks in GPT-GNN help the pre-training framework

- Attribute generation
- Edge generation

• Data 1: Open Academic Graph

	Pre-Train			Fine-Tune		une	
Tasks:	 Attribute Gen Edge Genera 	Attribute Generation Edge Generation		 Inferring the topic of each paper Inferring the venue of each paper Author name disambiguation 			of each paper e of each paper mbiguation
Base GNN model:	Heterogene	eous G	iraph T	ransfo	rmer (H	GT)	
	Model	HGT	GCN	GAT	RGCN	HAN	
	No Pre-train	.346	.327	.318	.296	.332	-
	GPT-GNN	.420	.359	.382	.351	.406	
	Relative Gain	21.4%	9.8%	20.1%	18.9%	22.3%	

1. Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020.

2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN

The Promise of Graph Pre-Training!



The GNN model **w/o** pre-training by using **100%** training data **VS** The GNN model **with** pre-training by using **10-20%** training data

1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN

GNN Pre-Training on the "Same" Networks



1.Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & data for GPT-GNN: https://github.com/acbull/GPT-GNN

Graphs





Biological Neural Networks



Knowledge Graph

Internet

Transportation

GNN Pre-Training

- The SECOND graph pre-training setting:
 - To pre-train from some graphs
 - To fine-tune for unseen tasks on unseen graphs



- How to do this?
 - Model level: GNNs?
 - Pre-training task: self-supervised tasks across graphs?



GNN Pre-Training across Networks



GNN Pre-Training across Networks

- What are the requirements?
 - structural similarity, it maps vertices with similar local network topologies close to each other in the vector space
 - transferability, it is compatible with vertices and graphs unseen by the pre-training algorithm

GNN Pre-Training across Networks

- The Idea: Contrastive learning
 - pre-training task: instance discrimination
 - InfoNCE objective: output instance representations that are capable of capturing the similarities between instances

$$\mathcal{L} = -\log \frac{\exp\left(\boldsymbol{q}^{\top}\boldsymbol{k}_{+}/\tau\right)}{\sum_{i=0}^{K}\exp\left(\boldsymbol{q}^{\top}\boldsymbol{k}_{i}/\tau\right)}$$

- query instance x^q
- query q (embedding of x^q), i.e., $q = f(x^q)$
- dictionary of keys $\{\boldsymbol{k}_0, \boldsymbol{k}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_K\}$

• key
$$k = f(x^k)$$

- Contrastive learning for graphs?
 - Q1: How to define instances in graphs?
 - Q2: How to define (dis) similar instance pairs in and across graphs?
 - Q3: What are the proper graph encoders?

^{1.} Zhirong Wu, Yuanjun Xiong, Stella X Yu, and Dahua Lin. Unsupervised feature learning via non-parametric instance discrimination. In CVPR '18.

^{2.} Kaiming He, Haoqi Fan, Yuxin Wu, Saining Xie, and Ross Girshick. 2020. Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. In CVPR '20.

Graph Contrastive Coding (GCC)

- Contrastive learning for graphs
 - Q1: How to define instances in graphs?
 - Q2: How to define (dis) similar instance pairs in and across graphs?
 - Q3: What are the proper graph encoders?





Graph Contrastive Coding (GCC)



GCC Pre-Training / Fine-Tuning

• pre-train on six graphs

Dataset	Academia	DBLP (SNAP)	DBLP (NetRep)	IMDB	Facebook	LiveJournal
V	137,969	317,080	540,486	896,305	3,097,165	4,843,953
E	739,384	2,099,732	30,491,458	7,564,894	47,334,788	85,691,368

• fine-tune on **different** graphs

- US-Airport & AMiner academic graph
 - Node classification
- COLLAB, RDT-B, RDT-M, & IMDB-B, IMDB-M
 - Graph classification
- AMiner academic graph
 - Similarity search
- The base GNN
 - Graph Isomorphism Network (GIN)



Results

Node Classification

Datasets	US-Airport	H-index
V	1,190	5,000
E	13,599	44,020
ProNE	62.3	69.1
GraphWave	60.2	70.3
Struc2vec	66.2	> 1 Day
GCC (E2E, freeze)	64.8	78.3
GCC (MoCo, freeze)	65.6	75.2
GCC (rand, full)	64.2	76.9
GCC (E2E, full)	68.3	80.5
GCC (MoCo, full)	67.2	80.6

Similarity Search

	KDD-ICDM		SIGIR-CIKM		SIGMOD-ICDE	
V	2,867	2,607	2,851	3,548	2,616	2,559
E	7,637	4,774	6,354	7,076	8,304	6,668
# groud truth		697		874		898
k	20	40	20	40	20	40
Random	0.0198	0.0566	0.0223	0.0447	0.0221	0.0521
RolX	0.0779	0.1288	0.0548	0.0984	0.0776	0.1309
Panther++	0.0892	0.1558	0.0782	0.1185	0.0921	0.1320
GraphWave	0.0846	0.1693	0.0549	0.0995	0.0947	0.1470
GCC (E2E)	0.1047	0.1564	0.0549	0.1247	0.0835	0.1336
GCC (MoCo)	0.0904	0.1521	0.0652	0.1178	0.0846	0.1425

1.Jiezhong Qiu et al. GCC: Graph Contrastive Coding for Graph Neural Network Pre-Training. **KDD** 2020. 2.Code & Data for GCC: <u>https://github.com/THUDM/GCC</u>

Graph Classification

Datasets	IMDB-B	IMDB-M	COLLAB	RDT-B	RDT-M
# graphs	1,000	1,500	5,000	2,000	5,000
# classes	2	3	3	2	5
Avg. # nodes	19.8	13.0	74.5	429.6	508.5
DGK	67.0	44.6	73.1	78.0	41.3
graph2vec	71.1	50.4	-	75.8	47.9
InfoGraph	73.0	49.7	-	82.5	53.5
GCC (E2E, freeze)	71.7	49.3	74.7	87.5	52.6
GCC (MoCo, freeze)	72.0	49.4	78.9	89.8	53.7
DGCNN	70.0	47.8	73.7	_	-
GIN	75.6	51.5	80.2	89.4	54.5
GCC (rand, full)	75.6	50.9	79.4	87.8	52.1
GCC (E2E, full)	70.8	48.5	79.0	86.4	47.4
GCC (MoCo, full)	73.8	50.3	81.1	87.6	53.0

hide

Results



Results



Does the pre-training of GNNs learn the **universal structural patterns** across networks?

Graph Representation Learning



What graph data to use?



https://ogb.stanford.edu/

Leaderboard for ogbn-arxiv

The classification accuracy on the test set. The higher, the better.

Rank	Method	Accuracy	Contact	References	Date
1	GCN	0.7174 ± 0.0029	Matthias Fey – OGB team	Paper, Code	May 1, 2020
2	GraphSAGE	0.7149 ± 0.0027	Matthias Fey – OGB team	Paper, Code	May 1, 2020
3	Node2vec	0.7007 ± 0.0013	Matthias Fey – OGB team	Paper, Code	May 1, 2020
4	MLP	0.5550 ± 0.0023	Matthias Fey – OGB team	Paper, Code	May 1, 2020

1. Weihua Hu, et al. Open Graph Benchmark: Datasets for Machine Learning on Graphs. arXiv 2020

Microsoft Academic Graph & AMiner & OAG



I. Kuansan Wang et al. Microsoft Academic Graph: When experts are not enough. Quantitative Science Studies 1 (1), 2020

- 2. Fanjin Zhang et al. OAG: Toward Linking Large-scale Heterogeneous Entity Graphs. KDD 2019.
- 3. Jie Tang et al. Arnetminer: extraction and mining of academic social networks. In KDD 2008.

References

- 1. Ziniu Hu et al. GPT-GNN: Generative Pre-Training of Graph Neural Networks. KDD 2020.
- 2. Jiezhong Qiu et al. GCC: Graph Contrastive Coding for Graph Neural Network Pre-Training. **KDD** 2020.
- 3. Kuansan Wang et al. Microsoft Academic Graph: When experts are not enough. Quantitative Science Studies 1 (1), 396-413, 2020.
- 4. Weihua Hu et al. Open Graph Benchmark: Datasets for Machine Learning on Graphs. arXiv 2020.
- 5. Feng et al. Graph Random Neural Networks. arXiv 2020.
- 6. Ziniu Hu et al. Heterogeneous Graph Transformer. WWW 2020.
- 7. Yuxiao Dong et al. Heterogeneous Network Representation Learning. IJCAI 2020.
- 8. Jiezhong Qiu et al. NetSMF: Large-Scale Network Embedding as Sparse Matrix Factorization. WWW 2019.
- 9. Jie Zhang et al. ProNE: Fast and Scalable Network Representation Learning. IJCAI 2019.
- 10. Fanjing Zhang et al. OAG: Toward Linking Large-scale Heterogeneous Entity Graphs. ACM *KDD* 2019.
- 11. Xian Wu et al. Neural Tensor Decomposition. WSDM 2019.
- 12. Jiezhong Qiu et al. Network Embedding as Matrix Factorization: Unifying DeepWalk, LINE, PTE, and node2vec. WSDM 2018.
- 13. Yuxiao Dong et al. metapath2vec: Scalable Representation Learning for Heterogeneous Networks. KDD 2017.
- 14. Perozzi et al. DeepWalk: Online learning of social representations. In KDD' 14.
- 15. Tang et al. LINE: Large scale information network embedding. In WWW'15.
- 16. Grover and Leskovec. node2vec: Scalable feature learning for networks. In KDD'16.
- 17. Harris, Z. (1954). Distributional structure. Word, 10(23): 146-162.
- 18. Kipf et al. Semisupervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks. ICLR 2017
- 19. Velickovic et al. Graph Attention Networks. ICLR 2018
- 20. Hamilton et al. Inductive Representation Learning on Large Graphs. NeurIPS 2017
- 21. Defferrard et al. Convolutional Neural Networks on Graphs with Fast Locailzied Spectral Filtering. In NeurIPS 2016
- 22. Jacob Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. NAACL-HLT 2019.
- 23. Justin Gilmer, et al. Neural message passing for quantum chemistry. arXiv: 2017.
- 24. Kaiming He, et al. Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. arXiv: 2019
- 25. Tomas Mikolov et al. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. NeurIPS 2013.
- 26. Petar Velickovic et al. Deep Graph Infomax. In ICLR 19.
- 27. Zhen Yang et al. Understanding Negative Sampling in Graph Representation Learning. KDD 2020.

Thank you!





Jiezhong Qiu Tsinghua (Jie Tang)

Ziniu Hu UCLA (Yizhou Sun)



Hongxia Yang Alibaba



Jing Zhang Renmin U. of China



Jie Tang Tsinghua



Yizhou Sun UCLA



Hao Ma Facebook Al



Kuansan Wang Microsoft Research

Papers & data & code available at <u>https://ericdongyx.github.io/</u> <u>ericdongyx@gmail.com</u>